

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vizginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,881	02/12/2001	Daniel J.C. Herr	5347-204	9632
20792	7590 09/11/2003			
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
			1756	
		DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/781,881	HERR ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence address -
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at the control of the control o	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extensior originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet	
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3,4,8,11,13,16,17,21,24,26,29,30,3</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>12,34 and 38</u> .	7 and 39.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,5-7,9,10,18-20,22,23,31-33,35 as</u>	nd 36	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		
10. Other:	((5)(1 10 1440) 1 apoi 110(0)	· /
TO Outer		appe
		Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

U4/03

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections under 35 USC 112 and the art rejections based upon the combination of Joy et al. and Tetsuo et al. ...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the prior artt rejections, the applicant neglect to note that the forward scattering technique uses electron beams to detect the surface information and the teachings of the secondary references clearly teach means for detecting these electrons and forming a permanent record of the information. Based upon the lack of information concerning the means for detecting the electrons, one of ordinary skill in the art would be directed to means known in the art. This would includes the electron beams resists described on pages 77-79 of Elliott , which was published in 1982. The applicant also seems to argue that the surface information sought by Joy et al. is not the desired pattern. The examiner believes that as Joy et al. is seeking information about the surface, it is inherently the "desired pattern". This argument would have greater effect of the process of producing and patterning the reflector surface was recited. Only the rejection based upon the combination of Joy et al and Elliott remains .